# PERIODIC MOTIONS OF A HEAVY, RIGID, DYNAMICALLY ALMOST SYMMETRIC BODY WITH A FIXED POINT* 

V.S. SERGEEV

A motion is studied, about a fixed point, of a heavy rigid body, the mass distribution in which resembles the mass distribution encountered in the Lagrange's case. The equations of motion are written in the action-angle variables for the Lagrange's case, after which the method developed by Poincaré / / for such systems is used to prove the existence of new families of periodic motions represented by sexies in powers of a small parameter introduced into the process.

Periodic motions of a reduced system were studied under the above conditions in $/ 2,3 /$. The action-angle variables were used in the Euler- Poinsot problem in $/ 4 /$ and employed in $/ 5 /$ to prove the existence of periodic motions of general type in the corresponding perturbed problem.

In the Lagrange's case the action-angle variables were used for a system with excluded cyclic coordinate in $/ 6 /$ to clarify the problem of existence of the conditionally periodic motions of a rigid body differing little from the Lagrange gyroscope. The action-angle varıables were used in $/ 7 /$ in the Lagrange's case for the complete system, where the expansions into fourier series of the direction cosines of the vertical were also given.

1. We shall describe the motion of a heavy rigid body using the Euler angles $\theta$, $\varphi$, $\psi$ and the conjugated canonic impulses $p_{9}, p_{\varphi}, p_{4}$. We introduce the small parameter $\mu \geqslant 0$ by means of the formulas

$$
B=A(1+\mu D)^{-1}, x_{0}=\mu x_{1} l, y_{0}=\mu y_{1} l, z_{0}=z_{1} l>0
$$

containing the moments of inertia $A, B$ principal for the fixed point 0 , the coordinates $x_{0}$, $y_{0}, z_{0}$ of the center of mass of the body relative to the coordinate system attached to the principle axes of inertia of the point $O$, the dimensionless quantities $D, x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}$ which are assumed finite compared with the small parameter $\mu$, and the characteristic dimension of the body $l$. We assume that the $O_{x}$ axis is fixed in the space of the Oxyz coordinate system and directed vertically downwards. We now pass to the new variables $I=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}\right), w=\left(u_{1}, w_{2}, w_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ which are the action-angle variables in the Lagrange's case. We assume that the roots $e_{i}(l=$ $1,2,3$ ) of the known third order equation in $\cos \theta$ defining the domain of admissible values of $\vartheta$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{3}<-1,-1<e_{2}<e_{1}<1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the transformation will have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{\theta}=\left[\beta+\beta_{0} \cos \vartheta-\frac{\left(I_{2}-I_{3} \cos \vartheta\right)^{2}}{\sin ^{2} \theta}\right]^{1 / 2}, \quad p_{\psi}=I_{2}, \quad p_{\varphi}=I_{3} \\
& \cos \vartheta=\varepsilon_{1} \operatorname{cn} 2\left(\frac{\kappa}{\pi} w_{1}\right)+e_{4} \operatorname{sn}^{2}\left(\frac{K}{\pi} w_{1}\right), \quad w_{2}=\psi-\gamma_{+}, \quad w_{3}=\varphi+\kappa_{-} \\
& \chi_{ \pm}=\left(\sqrt{\beta_{n}} \varepsilon_{3} K\right)^{-1}\left(Q_{ \pm}(\alpha) K-Q_{ \pm}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) F(\alpha, k)\right) \\
& Q_{ \pm}(\alpha)_{4}=\frac{I_{2}-I_{3}}{1-e_{1}} \Pi\left(\alpha, b_{+}, k\right) \pm \frac{I_{3}+I_{3}}{1+e_{1}} \Pi\left(\alpha, b_{-}, k\right) \\
& \sin \alpha=\sqrt{e_{1}-\cos \vartheta / e_{2},}, \varepsilon_{2}^{2}=e_{1}-e_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}^{2}-e_{1}-e_{3} \\
& \beta_{0}=2 M g A z_{0}, \beta=\left(2 k_{1}-I_{3}^{2} / C\right) A, b_{ \pm}= \pm \varepsilon_{2}^{2} /\left(1 \mp e_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $k=\varepsilon_{3} / \varepsilon_{3}$ is the modulus of the elliptic integrals and functions, $M g$ is the weight of the body, $c$ is the principal moment of inertia and $k_{1}$ is the constant of the area integral. The quantities $\beta, e_{i}$ in formulas (1.2) are assumed to be expressed in terms of $I$. When $\mu=0$, the variables $w_{i}$ vary, in the general case, in the conditionally periodic manner, with the frequencies

[^0]$$
\omega_{1}=\frac{\pi \varepsilon_{3} \sqrt{B_{0}}}{2 A K}, \quad \omega_{2}=\frac{Q_{+}(\pi / 2)}{2 A K}, \quad \omega_{3}=I_{\mathrm{s}} \frac{A-C}{A C}-\frac{Q_{-}(\pi / 2)}{2 A K}
$$
2. Let us write the Hamiltonian function of the problem in the form
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=H_{0}(I)+\mu H_{1}\left(I, w_{1}, w_{3}\right), H_{1}\left(I, w_{1}, w_{3}\right)=  \tag{2.1}\\
& \quad \frac{D}{2 A}\left[\frac{\cos \varphi}{\sin \theta}\left(I_{2}-I_{3} \cos \theta\right)-p_{\theta}\right]^{2}-M g l\left(x_{1} \sin \varphi+y_{1} \cos \varphi\right) \sin \theta
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $\theta, \varphi, p_{v}$ depends on $I$ and $w$ in accordance with the formulas (1.2). Using the Poincare method /l/ we shall seek the periodic solutions of the system of equations with Hamiltonian (2.1) similar to periodic solutions of the unperturbed integrable problem. We assume that the relations $\omega_{k} T=2 \pi m_{k}, k=1, \varrho, 3$ where $m_{k} \neq 0$ hold for some $T>0$. Then the general solution of the system in question where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}=a_{k}, w_{k}=\omega_{k} t+\alpha_{k} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $a_{k}, \alpha_{k}$ are constants, will be 7 -periodic. Using the Poincare theorem we can assert that at small $\mu$ at least two families of $T$-periodic solutions will exist, provided that the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2}\left[H_{1}\right]}{\partial \alpha_{3}^{2}} \neq 0 \text { when } \frac{\partial\left[H_{1}\right]}{\partial \alpha_{3}}=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[H_{1}\right]$ denotes the function $H_{1}$ averaged over the period $T$, into which the solution (2.2) has been substituted, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{D\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right)}{D\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}\right)} \neq 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{k}=a_{k}$. The above periodic solutions are holomorphic functions of parameter $\mu$.
Let us turn our attention to condition (2.4). Let $\delta_{1}$ denote the Jacobian of $\omega_{k}$ in $e_{j}$ and $\delta_{2}$ the Jacobian $e_{j}$ over $I_{k}(j, k=1,2,3)$, in which case we have $\delta=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}$, and we have the following expression for $\delta_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2}=\frac{4 \pi e_{3} \rho_{0}^{1 / 2} K\left(I_{3}^{2}-I_{2}^{2}\right)}{\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)\left(e_{2}-e_{3}\right)\left(e_{3}-e_{1}\right)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that under the assumptions that (1.1) the inequality $\left|I_{2}\right| \neq\left|I_{3}\right|$ holds and therefore according to (2.5) $\delta_{2} \neq 0$. It can be shown, e.g. by computing the first terms of the expansion of $\delta_{2}$ into a series in powers of $k^{2}$, that the Jacobian $\delta_{1} \neq 0$. Here $\delta_{1}$ is of the order of
$k^{2}$ and can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{1}=\frac{k^{2} \beta_{0}^{1 / 2}}{64 A^{9} C_{8}\left(1-e_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-e_{3}^{2}\right)}\left[3 A s_{2}\left(e_{3} s_{2}-s_{3}\right)-4 C \varepsilon_{8}^{2}\left(I_{2}{ }^{2}-I_{8}{ }^{2}\right)\right]+  \tag{2.6}\\
& h^{4} \Delta_{1}\left(k^{2}\right), s_{2}=I_{2}-e_{1} I_{3}, s_{3}=I_{8}-e_{1} I_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta_{1}\left(k^{2}\right)$ is a holomorphic function of $k^{2}$. The expression within the square brackets in (2.6) is not identically zero, and this can be confirmed by expressing the variables $I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ in terms of the independent quantities $\beta_{0}, e_{1}, e_{3}\left(e_{2}=e_{1}\right)$.

Next we consider the condition (2.3) which can also be fulfilled. Indeed, writing for simplicity $A=B$ and assuming from the opposite that the relations $\partial\left[H_{1}\right] / \partial \alpha_{3}=0$ and $\partial^{2}\left[H_{1}\right] / \partial \alpha_{3}{ }^{2}=0$ arc satisfied simultaneously, we find, as $x_{1}{ }^{2}+y_{1}{ }^{2} \neq 0$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \exp \left[i\left(\Phi_{1}+\omega_{3} t+\alpha_{3}\right)\right] \sin \theta d t=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the arguments in the functions $\Phi_{1}=\varphi-u_{3}, \theta$ depending in accordance with (1.2) on $I$ and $w_{1}$, have been changed according to (2.2). After transforming (2.7) we arrive at the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \exp \left(1 \omega_{3} t\right) R d t=0  \tag{2.8}\\
& R=\cos \Phi_{1} \sin \vartheta-\omega_{3}^{-1} \frac{d S}{d t}, \quad S=\sin \Phi_{1} \sin \theta
\end{align*}
$$

The function $R$ periodic in $t$ with period $T_{1}=2 \pi / \omega_{1}$, can be expanded on the segment $\left|0 \quad I_{1}\right|$ anto a Fourier series the coefficients of which are denoted by $r_{n}$. The integral (2.8) is not zero only when $\omega_{n}=n \omega_{1}\left(n \neq 0\right.$ is an integer) and $2 n$ this case it is equal to $r_{n} T$. Thus for every $n$ from the set of values such that $r_{n} \neq 0$ and $\omega_{3}=n \omega_{1}$ and provided that (2.4) holds, there exist solutions to the problem periodic in $\vartheta .4 . \varphi(\bmod 2 \pi)$. We shall show that the set of values of $n$ in nonempty. To do this we expand with help of (1.2) the function $R$, for $k^{2} \leqslant 1$, anto a Fourier series, and write the first terms of the expansion in powers of $k^{2}$ thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=\sqrt{T-e_{1}^{2}}\left[1+\frac{k^{2} e_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{2}}{1-e_{1}^{2}}=n^{2} \frac{u_{1}}{2}-\right.  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad \frac{k^{\lambda^{2} \varepsilon_{3}}}{4 \sqrt{\beta_{0}}}\left(\frac{I_{2}^{2}-I_{3}}{\left(1-e_{1}\right)^{2}}+\frac{I_{2}+I_{3}}{\left(1+e_{1}\right)^{2}}\right) \cos w_{1}+k^{4} R_{2}\left(u_{1}, k^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{1}\left(u_{1}, h^{2}\right)$ is a holomorphic function of $h^{2}$. From (2.9) it follows that periodic solutions exist for which $\omega_{1}=\omega_{3}$. The function $R_{1}\left(u_{1}, 0\right)$ contains a harmonic of $\cos 2 w_{1}$, therefore periodic motions with $\omega_{3}=2 \omega_{1}$ must also exist and we can have $\omega_{3}=\left(m_{3} / m_{2}\right) \omega_{2}$. The existence of periodic solutions for $n>2$ follows from the results of the paper (*).
3. We shall show that families of solutions exist at small $\mu$, describing periodicmotions with periods close to those of pexiodic motions of the unperturbed problem, We introduce a new independent variable $\tau$ by means of the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=(1+a) \tau \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\mu \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ is a holomorphic function of $\mu$. We shall seek periodic solutions of the Hamiltonian system of equations transformed according to (3.1), $T$-periodic in $t$ and satisfying at $\tau=0$ the condition

$$
I_{k}=a_{k}+\beta_{k}, u_{k}=\alpha_{k}+\beta_{k+3}, k=1,2,3
$$

where $\beta_{k}=\mu \beta_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $\beta_{k^{\prime}}$ are holomorphic functions of $\mu$, to be defined. Then the conditions of periodicity of the functions assume the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(\omega_{i} \alpha^{\prime} T+\sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial \omega_{i}}{\partial I_{k}} \beta_{k}^{\prime} T+\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial I_{i}} d \tau\right)+\mu^{2} \Psi_{i}=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{i}$ are holomorphic functions of $\mu, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$. We shall regard the relations (3.2) as equations connecting $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta_{k^{\prime}}(k=1,2,3)$. The sufficient condition of their solvability with respect to e.g. $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}$ is, that the inequality

$$
\delta_{3}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\omega_{1} & \omega_{1,1}^{\prime} & \omega_{1,2}^{\prime}  \tag{3.3}\\
\omega_{2} & \omega_{2,1}^{\prime} & \omega_{2,2}^{\prime} \\
\omega_{3} & \omega_{3,1}^{\prime} & \omega_{3,2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right| \neq 0 \quad\left(\omega_{1, k}=\frac{\partial \omega_{i}}{\partial I_{k}}\right)
$$

holds for $I_{k}=a_{k}$. The initial value of the variable $I_{3}$ remains arbitrary. Thus the fulfilment of the inequalities (3.3) and (2.3) is a sufficient reason for the existence of two families of periodic motions, with the period, determined uniquely from initial conditions in accordance with (3.2), is close to the period of the periodic motion of the unperturbed problem.

Let us compute the determinant $\delta_{3}(3.3)$ for $k^{2} \ll 1$, expressing it in terms of the Jacobian

$$
D\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, I_{3} \omega_{3}\right) / D\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}\right)
$$

and Jacobians $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$. Using (2.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{3}=\frac{k^{2} \beta_{8}^{1 / 2} \delta_{2}}{64 A^{B} C \varepsilon_{8}\left(1-e_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-e_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}\left\{\frac{3 I_{3} s_{2}}{1-e_{1}^{2}}(A-2 C)\left(e_{3} s_{2}-s_{3}\right)+\right.  \tag{3.4}\\
& \left(I_{2^{2}}+I_{3^{2}}\right)\left[\frac{6 I_{3} \mathrm{es}^{2}}{1-e_{1}{ }^{2}}(2 A-C)-\frac{C_{s_{2}}}{1-e_{1}{ }^{3}}+\frac{s_{1}}{1-e_{3}{ }^{2}}+\right. \\
& \left.\frac{4}{\left(1-e_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(s_{4}\left(1-e_{1} e_{3}\right)-s_{3} e_{3}^{2}\right)\right]+k^{4} \Delta_{3}\left(k^{2}\right), \quad s_{1}=I_{2}-e_{3} I_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]where $\Delta_{3}\left(k^{2}\right)$ is a holomorphic function of $k^{2}$. From (3.4) we see that for small $k^{2}, \delta_{3} \not \equiv 0$, and therefore the periodic motions sought exist. Using (3.4) we can obtain from (3.2) an explicit expression for the principal term of the expansion of $\alpha^{\prime}$ into a series in $\mu$ at small $k^{2}$.

The families of periodic motions obtained $T(1+\alpha)$-periodic in $t$ depend on four arbitrary initial conditions. Every periodic solution corresponding to the periodic motions shown, has at least four characteristic zero indices $/ 1 /$. If the condition of isoenergetic nondegeneracy is fulfilled for the reduced system, then one of the families of periodic motions the remaining two characteristic indices are real and have opposite signs /l,5/, i.e. the motions of this family are unstable. The second family has in this case, in addition to the zero indices, two purely imaginary characteristic indices $/ 1,5 /$.

The author thanks V.V. Rumiantsev for the comments and attention given.

## REFERENCES

1. POINCARÉ A., Collected Works. Vol.l, New Methods of Celestial Mechanics. Moscow, NAUKA, 1971.
2. VAGNER E.A. and DEMIN V.G., On a class of periodic motions of a solid body about a fixed point. PMM, Vol.39, No.5, 1975.
3. VAGNER E.A., On a family of periodic motions of a heavy solid body about a fixed point. PMM Vol.41, No.3, 1977.
4. SADOV Iu.A., Action-angle variables in the Euler-Poinsot problem. PMM, Vol. 34, No.5, 1970.
5. KOZLOV V.V., New periodic solutions for the problem of motion of a heavy asymmetric rigid body about a fixed point. In: Study in the Mechanics of Fluids and Solids, Moscow, Izdvo MGU, 1977.
6. KOVALEV A.M., On a motion of a body differing little from the Lagrange gyroscope. In: Mechanics of Solids. Ed.3, Kiev, NAUKOVA DUMKA, 1971.
7. AKSENENKOVA I.M., Canonical action-angle variables in the problem of Lagrange gyroscope. Vestn. MGU, ser. matem. mekhan., No.1, 1981.

[^0]:    *Prikl.Matem.Mekhan.,Vol.47,No.1,pp.163-166,1983

[^1]:    *) Sergeev v.s. On periodic motions of a heavy rigid body rotating about a fixed point. Preprint VTs Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1981.

